



TITLE:

# QUICK REVIEW ON PROPERTY (X) (Recent results of Banach and Function spaces and its applications)

AUTHOR(S):

UEDA, YOSHIMICHI

---

CITATION:

UEDA, YOSHIMICHI. QUICK REVIEW ON PROPERTY (X) (Recent results of Banach and Function spaces and its applications). 数理解析研究所講究録 2008, 1615: 28-33

ISSUE DATE:

2008-10

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/140138>

RIGHT:

## QUICK REVIEW ON PROPERTY (X)

YOSHIMICHI UEDA (植田 好道)

**ABSTRACT.** We will review some materials that are useful to prove the uniqueness of preduals. Those were used crucially in our recent work on the uniqueness of predual of any ‘finite’ non-commutative  $H^\infty$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [12] we established, among other things, the uniqueness of predual of any ‘finite’ non-commutative  $H^\infty$ -algebra  $H^\infty(M, \tau)$ , which was introduced by Bill Arveson modeled after the usual pair  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  with the aid of operator algebra theory. The class of finite non-commutative  $H^\infty$ -algebras contains  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  as well as its abstract generalizations. Thus [12, Theorem 2] covers any existing generalization of the famous result due to Tsuyoshi Ando [3].

The most key ingredient of our proof of the uniqueness of predual of  $H^\infty(M, \tau)$  is to provide a non-commutative analog of Amar–Lederer’s peak set result [2] (also see [4]), which we fully explained in [12]. However, our proof of the uniqueness of predual also uses two purely Banach space theoretic techniques – Property (X) due to Godefroy and Talagrand and a very clever trick, both of which we just borrowed from some references without any detailed explanation. Here we will give detailed accounts (for non-experts like us) on those techniques as supplements to [12, Theorem 2].

In closing, we should mention our sincere thanks to Professor Kichi-Suke Saito for giving this opportunity.

### 2. GODEFROY–TALAGRAND’S PROPERTY (X)

This section mainly follows Godefroy and Talagrand’s elegant work [6]. The key ingredient behind Godefroy–Talagrand’s property (X) is the next proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $E$  and  $G$  be Banach spaces with  $E^* = G^*$ . If a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset E^*$  satisfies*

- (i)  $x_n \longrightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(E^*, E)$ ; and
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\psi(x_{n+1} - x_n)| < +\infty$  for all  $\psi \in E^{**}$ ,

*then  $x_n \longrightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(E^*, G)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $u_0 := x_1$ ,  $u_1 := x_2 - x_1$ , and  $u_n := x_{n+1} - x_n$ , and then by (i)

$$\sum_{k=0}^n u_k = x_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \sigma(E^*, E). \quad (1)$$

---

Date: 9/20/2008.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 46B20; secondary: 46L52.

Y. UEDA

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  we consider the map  $T_n : \alpha = (\alpha_k) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}_0) \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k u_k \in E^*$  ( $\hookrightarrow E^{***}$  via the canonical embedding). Then one has, by (ii),

$$\sup\{|(T_n \alpha)(\phi)| : \|\alpha\|_\infty \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\phi(u_k)| < +\infty$$

for all  $\phi \in E^{**}$ , and hence the uniform boundedness principle shows that there is  $K > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k u_k \right\|_{E^*} = \|T_n \alpha\|_{E^{***}} \leq K \quad (2)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and for all  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ .

Choose an arbitrary free ultrafilter  $\omega \in \beta(\mathbb{N}_0) \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$  and put  $\xi_\omega := \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{k=0}^n u_k$  in  $\sigma(E^*, G)$ . Let us choose arbitrary  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{2l-1} < n_{2l}$ . Then, using (2) with

$$\alpha_k = \begin{cases} 1 & n_{2j-1} \leq k \leq n_{2j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} u_k + \sum_{k=n_3}^{n_4} u_k + \dots + \sum_{k=n_{2l-1}}^{n_{2l}} u_k \right\| \leq K.$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} u_k + \sum_{k=n_3}^{n_4} u_k + \dots + \sum_{k=n_{2l-1}}^{n_{2l}} u_k &= \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} u_k + \sum_{k=n_3}^{n_4} u_k + \dots + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2l}} u_k - \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2l-1}} u_k \right) \\ &\longrightarrow \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} u_k + \sum_{k=n_3}^{n_4} u_k + \dots + \xi_\omega - \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2l-1}} u_k \quad \text{in } \sigma(E^*, G) \end{aligned}$$

as  $n_{2l} \rightarrow \omega$  but  $n_1, \dots, n_{2l-1}$  are fixed. Then it follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} u_k + \sum_{k=n_3}^{n_4} u_k + \dots + \xi_\omega - \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2l-1}} u_k \right\| \leq K$$

for any fixed  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{2l-1}$ . We also have, by (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} u_k + \sum_{k=n_3}^{n_4} u_k + \dots + \xi_\omega - \sum_{k=0}^{n_{2l-1}} u_k \\ \longrightarrow \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} u_k + \sum_{k=n_3}^{n_4} u_k + \dots + \xi_\omega - 0 \quad \text{in } \sigma(E^*, E) \end{aligned}$$

as  $n_{2l-1} \rightarrow \infty$  but  $n_1, \dots, n_{2l-2}$  are fixed. Therefore, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} u_k + \sum_{k=n_3}^{n_4} u_k + \dots + \sum_{k=n_{2l-3}}^{n_{2l-2}} u_k + \xi_\omega \right\| \leq K$$

for any fixed  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{2l-2}$ . Clearly, this procedure can be continued for  $n_{2l-2}, n_{2l-4}$  and so on, and we finally get  $l \cdot \|\xi_\omega\| = \|l\xi_\omega\| \leq K$ . Since  $l$  can be arbitrarily large,  $\xi_\omega$  must be zero for any  $\omega \in \beta(\mathbb{N}_0) \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$ , which means that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n u_k = 0$  in  $\sigma(E^*, G)$ .  $\square$

Based on the lemma, Godefroy and Talagrand introduced property (X).

## QUICK REVIEW ON PROPERTY (X)

**Definition 2.1.** A Banach space  $E$  has property (X) if for any  $\psi \in E^{**}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\psi \in E$  with the canonical embedding  $E \hookrightarrow E^{**}$ .
- (b) For any sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset E^*$  with the properties
  - $x_n \longrightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(E^*, E)$ ,
  - $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(x_{n+1} - x_n)| < +\infty$  for all  $\phi \in E^{**}$ ,
 one has  $\psi(x_n) \longrightarrow 0$ .

This definition gives, in some sense, a criterion of  $w^*$ -continuity for bounded linear functionals on the dual  $E^*$  of a Banach space  $E$  with property (X).

**Definition 2.2.** A Banach space  $E$  is said to be the unique predual of its dual  $E^*$  if another Banach space  $G$  with  $G^* = E^*$  must coincide with  $E$  inside the dual  $E^{**}$  of  $E^*$  ( $= G^*$ ) via the canonical embedding.

**Corollary 2.2.** If a Banach space  $E$  has property (X), then  $E$  must be the unique predual of its dual  $E^*$ .

*Proof.* Assume another Banach space  $G$  satisfies  $G^* = E^*$ . Embed  $G \hookrightarrow (E^*)^* = E^{**}$  by  $g(x) := x(g)$  for  $x \in E^* = G^*$  and  $g \in G$ . Let  $\{x_n\} \subset E^*$  be chosen in such a way that  $x_n \longrightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(E^*, E)$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(x_{n+1} - x_n)| < +\infty$  for all  $\phi \in E^{**}$ . By Proposition 2.1 we get  $x_n \longrightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(E^*, G)$ , which shows that  $g(x_n) = x_n(g) \longrightarrow 0$  for all  $g \in G$ . Thus, Property (X) ensures that any  $g$  must fall in  $E \hookrightarrow E^{**}$ , that is,  $G \subseteq E$  inside  $E^{**}$ . If  $G \subsetneq E$  inside  $E^{**}$ , then by the Hahn–Banach extension theorem there is  $x \in E^*$  such that  $x \neq 0$  but  $x|_G = 0$ . (Indeed, there is  $e \in E \setminus G$  by the assumption, and thus  $[e] \in E/G$  with  $[e] \neq 0$ . Then by the Hahn–Banach extension theorem there is  $\varphi \in (E/G)^*$  sending  $[e]$  to  $\|[e]\| = \inf\{\|e - g\| : g \in G\} \neq 0$ . Hence the  $x := \varphi \circ Q \in E^*$  with the quotient map  $Q : E \rightarrow E/G$  becomes a desired element.) This  $x$  is a non-zero element in  $G^* = E^*$  but it is identically zero on  $G$ , a contradiction. Hence  $G = E$  inside  $E^{**}$ .  $\square$

The next proposition has been known, but we do give one proof, which is a prototype of our proof of the uniqueness of predual of  $H^\infty(M, \tau)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $M$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite von Neumann algebra and  $M_\star$  be its predual. Then,  $M_\star$  has property (X).

*Proof.* It suffices to show that, if  $\varphi \in M^*$  satisfies  $\varphi(x_n) \longrightarrow 0$  for any  $\{x_n\} \subset M$  with the properties

- $x_n \longrightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(M, M_\star)$  and
- $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(x_{n+1} - x_n)| < +\infty$  for all  $\phi \in M^*$ ,

then  $\varphi$  must fall in  $M_\star \hookrightarrow M^*$ . Here we need the following standard facts on von Neumann algebras (see e.g. [9] and [11] for their proofs):

- (1) Any  $\psi \in M^*$  can be decomposed into  $\psi = \psi_{\text{nor}} + \psi_{\text{sing}}$  with  $\psi_{\text{nor}} \in M_\star$  and  $\psi_{\text{sing}} \in M^* \ominus M_\star$ , and  $\|\psi\| = \|\psi_{\text{nor}}\| + \|\psi_{\text{sing}}\|$  holds. (This is the so-called *non-commutative Lebesgue decomposition* due to Takesaki.) We call  $M_\star$  the normal part and  $M^* \setminus M_\star$  the singular part. Remark that the notation here is a little bit different from that in [12].
- (2) For any  $\psi \in M^*$  (or  $\psi \in M_\star$ ) there are a unique positive linear functional  $|\psi| \in M_\star$  (resp.  $|\psi| \in M_\star$ ) and a unique partial isometry  $v \in M^{**}$  (resp.  $v \in M_\star$ ) such that  $\langle \psi, x \rangle = \langle |\psi|, xv \rangle$  as well as  $\langle |\psi|, x \rangle = \langle \psi, xv^* \rangle$  for  $x \in M^{**}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M^* \times M^{**} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  stands for the canonical pairing. (This is the so-called *polar decomposition*

of linear functionals due to Sakai and also Tomita.) Remark here that the second dual  $M^{**}$  becomes a von Neumann algebra, which naturally contains the original  $M$  as a subalgebra via the canonical embedding  $M \hookrightarrow M^{**}$ .

- (3) Both the closed subspaces  $M_*$  and  $M^* \ominus M_*$  of  $M^*$  are closed under the operation  $\psi \in M^* \mapsto |\psi| \in M^*$ . (This follows from the construction of the decomposition in (1) together with (2).)
- (4) For a positive linear functional  $\psi \in M^*$  the following are equivalent:
  - $\psi \in M^* \ominus M_*$ .
  - For every nonzero projection  $e \in M$  there is a non-zero projection  $e_0 \in M$  such that  $e_0 \leq e$  and  $\psi(e_0) = 0$ .
 (This is Takesaki's criterion for 'singularity' of linear functionals.)
- (5) Any  $\psi \in M^*$  (or  $M_*$ ) can be written as a linear combination of four positive linear functionals in  $M^*$  (resp.  $M_*$ ).

Let us decompose the given  $\varphi$  into  $\varphi = \varphi_{\text{nor}} + \varphi_{\text{sing}}$  as in (1), and what we have to show is  $\varphi_{\text{sing}} = 0$ , i.e.,  $\varphi = \varphi_{\text{nor}} \in M_*$ . For contrary we suppose  $\varphi_{\text{sing}} \neq 0$ . Then, by (2) and (3),  $|\varphi_{\text{sing}}| \neq 0$  and  $|\varphi_{\text{sing}}| \in M^* \ominus M_*$  still holds. Clearly, the orthogonal families of non-zero projections in  $\text{Ker}|\varphi_{\text{sing}}|$  forms an inductive set by inclusion, and Zorn's lemma ensures the existence of a maximal family  $\{q_k\}$ , which is at most countable since  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Put  $q_0 := \sum_k q_k$  in  $M$ , and then  $q_0 = 1$  since  $q_0 \neq 1$  clearly contradicts to the above (4). Also, if  $\{q_k\}$  is a finite family, then  $|\varphi_{\text{sing}}|(1) = \sum_k |\varphi_{\text{sing}}|(q_k) = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\{q_k\}$  must be a countably infinite family with  $\sum_k q_k = 1$  in  $M$ . Letting  $p_n := 1 - \sum_{k \leq n} q_k$  we have  $p_n \searrow 0$  in  $\sigma(M, M_*)$  but  $|\varphi_{\text{sing}}|(p_n) = |\varphi_s|(1)$  for all  $n$ . The latter says that  $p_n$  converges a non-zero projection  $p \in M^{**}$  in  $\sigma(M^{**}, M^*)$  with  $\langle |\varphi_{\text{sing}}|, p \rangle = \langle |\varphi_{\text{sing}}|, 1 \rangle (= |\varphi_{\text{sing}}|(1))$  since  $p_n$  is a decreasing sequence. Let  $u \in M$  and  $v \in M^{**}$  be the partial isometries for the polar decompositions of  $\varphi_{\text{nor}}$  and  $\varphi_{\text{sing}}$ , respectively. Then, for  $x \in M^{**}$  one has  $|\langle \varphi_{\text{sing}}, (1-p)x \rangle| = |\langle |\varphi_{\text{sing}}|, (1-p)xv \rangle| \leq \langle |\varphi_{\text{sing}}|, 1-p \rangle^{1/2} \langle |\varphi_{\text{sing}}|, v^*x^*xv \rangle^{1/2} = 0$  so that  $\langle \varphi_{\text{sing}}, x \rangle = \langle \varphi_{\text{sing}}, px \rangle$  since  $\langle |\varphi_{\text{sing}}|, p \rangle = \langle |\varphi_{\text{sing}}|, 1 \rangle$ . Similarly, for  $x \in M^{**}$  one has  $|\langle \varphi_{\text{nor}}, px \rangle| = |\langle |\varphi_{\text{nor}}|, pxu \rangle| \leq \langle |\varphi_{\text{nor}}|, p \rangle^{1/2} \langle |\varphi_{\text{nor}}|, u^*x^*xu \rangle^{1/2}$ . Since  $|\varphi_{\text{nor}}|$  still falls in  $M_*$ ,  $\langle |\varphi_{\text{nor}}|, p \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_{\text{nor}}|(p_n) = 0$  so that  $\langle \varphi_{\text{nor}}, px \rangle = 0$ . Consequently, we get  $\langle \varphi, px \rangle = \langle \varphi_{\text{nor}} + \varphi_{\text{sing}}, px \rangle = \varphi_{\text{sing}}(x)$  for  $x \in M$ .

Let  $x \in M$  be arbitrary. Clearly,  $p_n x \rightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(M, M_*)$ . Let  $\phi \in M^*$  be arbitrary, and decompose  $y \in M \mapsto \phi(yx)$  into a linear combination of four positive linear functionals  $\phi_i \in M^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , thanks to the above (5). Since  $\sum_{n=1}^N |\phi_i(p_{n+1} - p_n)| = \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_i(q_{n+1}) = \phi_i(\sum_{n=2}^{N+1} q_n) \leq \phi_i(1) < +\infty$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(p_{n+1}x - p_n x)| < +\infty$ . Therefore, by the assumption here one has  $\varphi(p_n x) \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand,  $\varphi(p_n x) = \langle \varphi, p_n x \rangle \rightarrow \langle \varphi, px \rangle = \varphi_{\text{sing}}(x)$  so that  $\varphi_{\text{sing}} = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The heart of the above proof is as follows. Although  $\varphi_{\text{nor}}$  and  $\varphi_{\text{sing}}$  are 'orthogonal', we cannot find a projection in  $M$  that distinguishes those. (Of course, we can find such a projection in  $M^{**}$  since both functionals can be regarded as 'normal' ones on  $M^{**}$ .) Thus we first construct a projection  $p \in M^{**}$  in such a way that it can be 'nicely' approximated by projections in  $M$  and  $p$  is greater than 'the support of  $\varphi_{\text{sing}}$ ' but 'disjoint' from 'the support of  $\varphi_{\text{nor}}$ '. This essentially says that  $M$  'remembers' the decomposition ' $M^* = M_* \oplus (M^* \ominus M_*)$ ' of  $M^*$  (the second dual of  $M_*$ ). This suggests us that such a decomposition of the second dual should be related to property (X) of a Banach space in question. This was quite recently answered affirmatively by Hermann Pfizner when a Banach space in question is separable, see [8].

Further accounts on the present topics can be found in [5].

## QUICK REVIEW ON PROPERTY (X)

## 3. ADDENDUM – A CLEVER TRICK DUE TO PEŁCZYŃSKI

The essential idea of our proof of the uniqueness of predual of  $H^\infty(M, \tau)$  is similar to that of Proposition 2.3. However, the lack of self-adjointness of our algebra  $H^\infty(M, \tau)$  (thus we cannot use the order structure) makes some trouble, which we overcame with a clever trick borrowed from the proof of [7, Proposition 1.c.3]. (The trick is due to Aleksander Pełczyński, see [10, p.637] for this credit, and it was originally used for proving that if a Banach space has Pełczyński's property (u) then so does any closed subspace, see [7] or more recent [1].) Here we will explain it. The situation we deal with is as follows. Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $A$  be its  $\sigma$ -weakly closed (possibly non-self-adjoint) unital subalgebra. Assume that we have two sequences  $\{a_n\} \subset A$  and  $\{b_n\} \subset M$  such that

- (i) both  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  converge to the same  $p \in M^{**}$  in  $\sigma(M^{**}, M^*)$ , and
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(b_{n+1} - b_n)| < +\infty$  for all  $\phi \in M^*$ .

What we want to do is to replace  $a_n$  by a new one with keeping (i) and further satisfying (ii). This can be done by utilizing the above-mentioned clever trick in Banach space theory.

**Proposition 3.1.** *There is another  $\{a'_n\} \subset A$  such that*

- (i')  $a'_n \rightarrow p$  in  $\sigma(M^{**}, M^*)$ , and
- (ii')  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(a'_{n+1} - a'_n)| < +\infty$  for all  $\phi \in M^*$ .

We need one elementary lemma due to Stanisław Mazur.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be a normed space and  $\{x_n\} \subset E$  be such that  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(E, E^*)$ . Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a convex combination  $y = \sum_{n \geq m} \lambda_n x_n$  with  $\|y\| < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C_m$  be the closed convex hull of  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq m}$  in  $E$ . It suffice to show  $0 \in C_m$ . Thus, for contrary, suppose  $0 \notin C_m$ . Then there is a small open ball  $B$  centered at 0 with  $C_m \cap B = \emptyset$ . The Hahn–Banach separation theorem ensures that there are  $\varphi \in E^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \varphi(b) \leq t \leq \operatorname{Re} \varphi(c)$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C_m$ . This is impossible since  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(E, E^*)$  (implying  $t \leq 0$ ) and  $0 \in B$  (implying  $t \geq 0$ ). Thus  $0 \in C_m$ , which means the desired assertion.  $\square$

*Proof.* (Proposition 3.1) Putting  $b_0 := 0$  we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(b_n - b_{n-1})| < +\infty$  for all  $\phi \in M^*$ . Set  $u_n := a_n - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - b_{k-1}$ , and then  $u_n = a_n - b_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(M, M^*)$  by (i). By Lemma 3.2 there are convex combinations  $u'_j = \sum_{n=p_{j-1}+1}^{p_j} \lambda_n^{(j)} u_n$  such that  $0 = p_0 < p_1 < p_2 < \dots$  and  $\|u'_j\| \leq 2^{-j}$ . Then We define  $a'_j := \sum_{n=p_{j-1}+1}^{p_j} \lambda_n^{(j)} a_n \in A$  and put  $a'_0 := 0$  for convenience. Let us prove that this  $\{a'_j\}$  gives a desired sequence.

Since  $a_n \rightarrow p$  in  $\sigma(M^{**}, M^*)$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $\phi \in M^*$  there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\langle a_n, \phi \rangle - \langle p, \phi \rangle| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M^{**} \times M^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the canonical pairing. If  $j_0$  is chosen so that  $p_{j_0-1}+1 \geq n_0$ , then one has  $|\langle a'_j, \phi \rangle - \langle p, \phi \rangle| \leq \sum_{n=p_{j-1}+1}^{p_j} \lambda_n^{(j)} |\langle a_n, \phi \rangle - \langle p, \phi \rangle| < \varepsilon$  for all  $j \geq j_0$ . Thus  $a'_j \rightarrow p$  in  $\sigma(M^{**}, M^*)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

One has

$$\begin{aligned}
 a'_{j+1} - a'_j &= u'_{j+1} + \sum_{n=p_j+1}^{p_{j+1}} \lambda_n^{(j+1)} (a_n - u_n) - u'_j - \sum_{n=p_{j-1}+1}^{p_j} \lambda_n^{(j)} (a_n - u_n) \\
 &= u'_{j+1} - u'_j + \sum_{n=p_j+1}^{p_{j+1}} \lambda_n^{(j+1)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - b_{k-1} \right) - \sum_{n=p_{j-1}+1}^{p_j} \lambda_n^{(j)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - b_{k-1} \right) \\
 &= u'_{j+1} - u'_j + \sum_{n=p_{j-1}+1}^{p_{j+1}} \mu_n^{(j)} (b_n - b_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Y. UEDA

with some  $0 \leq \mu_n^{(j)} \leq 1$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\phi(a'_{j+1} - a'_j)| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\phi\| \|u'_{j+1}\| + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\phi\| \|u'_j\| + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=p_{j-1}+1}^{p_j+1} \mu_n^{(j)} |\phi(b_n - b_{n-1})| \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\phi\| \|u'_j\| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(b_n - b_{n-1})| \\
& \leq 4\|\phi\| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(b_n - b_{n-1})| < +\infty
\end{aligned}$$

by  $\|u'_j\| \leq 2^{-j}$  and (ii).  $\square$

Remark here that the argument presented above uses only the linear structure; hence clearly it can be applied to more general situations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac, N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach Space Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 233, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] E. Amar and A. Lederer, Points exposés de la boule unité de  $H_{\infty}(D)$ , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série A*, **272** (1971), 1449–1452.
- [3] T. Ando, On the predual of  $H^{\infty}$ , *Comment. Math.* Special issue **1** (1978), 33–40.
- [4] K. Barbey, Ein Satz über abstrakte analytische Funktionen, *Arch. Math.* (Basel) **26** (1975), 521–527.
- [5] G. Godefroy, Existence and uniqueness of isometric preduals: a survey, in *Banach Space Theory, Proc. of the Iowa Workshop on Banach Space Theory 1987* (ed. Bor-Luh Lin), *Contemp. Math.*, **85** (1989), 131–193.
- [6] G. Godefroy and M. Talagrand, Classes d'espaces de Banach à prédual unique, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **292** (1981), 323–325.
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. II. Function spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, **97**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.
- [8] H. Pfizner, Separable  $L$ -embedded Banach spaces are unique preduals, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **39** (2007), 1039–1044.
- [9] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [10] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach spaces, I*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 154, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1970.
- [11] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras, I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 124, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [12] Y. Ueda, On peak phenomena for non-commutative  $H^{\infty}$ , *Math. Ann.*, to appear (Doi:10.1007/s00208-008-0277-5), arXiv:0802.3449

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA, 810-8560, JAPAN  
 E-mail address: ueda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp